

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.upote.gov

	I		T	
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/525,778	02/28/2005	Bruno Bozionek	2002P10504WOUS	8327
7590 03/11/2010 Siemens Corporation Intellectual Property Department			EXAMINER	
			SMITH, JOSHUA Y	
170 Wood Avenue South Iselin, NJ 08830			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2477	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/11/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	10/525,778	BOZIONEK ET AL.	
Examiner		Art Unit	
	JOSHUA SMITH	2477	

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address -THE REPLY FILED 09 February 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE

THE REFER FILES OF FEMALES TO FEACE THIS AFFEIGATION IN COL				
1. Ne reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendm application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply m periods:	ent, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request			
The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection				
b) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the data and the final rejection of the final rejecti				
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS fro	om the mailing date of the final rejection.			
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under have been filled is the date for unproses of determining the period or detension and the correspon under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period set forth in (b) above, if checked, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as			
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.	97 would be filed within two weaths of the date of			
A Mile I in Control of Appeal was filled off A Mile II in Control planter with A Mile II in Control planter with A Mile II in Control planter of A Mile II in	11.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since			
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of f (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or sear (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below): 				
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by n appeal; and/or	naterially reducing or simplifying the issues for			
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number	of finally rejected claims			
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	or rinary rejected claims.			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice	of Non-Constitut Assessment (RTOL 204)			
	of Non-Compliant Amendment (FTOL-324).			
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):				
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a non-allowable claim(s). 	a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the			
7. To purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered,	or b) 🕅 will be entered and an explanation of			
how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appende				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:				
Claim(s) allowed:				
Claim(s) objected to:				
Claim(s) rejected: <u>33-51.</u> Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:				
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE				
	-6 600 No-10			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 				
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but	prior to the date of filing a brief will not be			
entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier pr	under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a			
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the cla	aims after entry is below or attached.			
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the a	application in condition for allowance because:			
See Continuation Sheet.				
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No 13. Other:	(s)			
Joshua Smith /Gregory B S	efcheck/			
	niner, Art Unit 2477			
03-09-2010	,			

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The argument that no access unit determines what device a message is intended for in Raffali, and that Raffali teaches translation members that provide tunning for messages to be sent through certain sub networks and those translation members do not determine which destination device the message is intended for is not persuasive. The act of encapsulating a message with a new header and trailer based on a translation of a header and trailer already on the message implies that a destination network of a destination terminal has been determined and that the neader and trailer are generated based on properly tunneling the message toward the destination terminal in the destination network. As a result, the process of translating header and trailer information and encapsulating with new header and trailer information in Raffali insights that a destination address for a destination terminal is determined for properly routing to the destination terminal, and this is substantively the same as determining which destination device a message is intended for.

The argument that Raffall expressly teaches away form any determination by an access unit as required by claims 33-44, and Raffall teaches that "Transit traffic is transferred unchanged by means of funneling", and the funneling performed in the system disclosed by Raffall merely encapsulates and decapsulates messages sent by an originating terminal is not persuasive. A reasonable interpretation of "converting" a message is to simply change a message in some way so that it becomes compatible with a different protocol. The word "converting" does not require that the entire message is changed, and "conversion" does not necessarily relate to conversion of an entire message. A way of "converting" a message, or changing a message so that it becomes compatible with a different protocol, it to add appropriate components to the message so that it becomes compatible with a different protocol. As a result, of the encapsulation and funneling of a message performed in Raffall is substantively the same as "converting" a message. Examiner respectfully notes that Claim 33 does not contain limitations for "converting" that are clearly not taught by Raffall.